## NEW YORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

2/26/2021

Anthony Caradonna Associate Dean, School of Architecture and Design Acarado1@nyit.edu

Dear Anthony,

Thank you for your quality initiative proposal. We regret to inform you that **your CPI-QI proposal has not been recommended for funding by the CPI Committee**, a subcommittee of the New York Institute of Technology Academic Senate. Your proposal was not recommended by the committee for funding at the 2/25/21 CPI Committee meeting, based on the following rules:

- Each of the five criteria must be approved by more than 50% of votes
- Conclusion on overall (I-V) must be approved by more than 50% of votes

Detailed votes on each criterion is attached.

Further information on resubmission will be forthcoming but due to the short timeline it is unlikely the committee will be able to review your proposal again in the current budget cycle.

Sincerely, Shifang Li

CPI Committee Co-chairs Shifang Li, Ed.D. Director, Institutional Effectiveness Radek Nowak, Ph.D. Assistant Professor, SOM

## NEW YORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

	nronocal	2/25 Report	
שוו	ni onosai.		

1.Clarity of purpose and goals and significant impact on the department and institution•Clear purpose and goals reflective of the scope and significance of the initiative•Potential for significant impact on the institution's qualityAbstainNeeds More ClarificationYes - Meets Criteria2.Clarity of the evaluation process:•The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research•Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNeeds More ClarificationVes - Meets Criteria•Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation:•@propriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps)•@easonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstainNeeds More Clarification	11 1 3 7 12 1 1 4 1 1 6 11 2 2	100% 9% 27% 64% 100% 8% 33% 8% 50% 100% 18%
Abstain Abstain   Needs More Clarification Yes - Meets Criteria   2.Clarity of the evaluation process: •   • The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research •   • Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals. •   Abstain Needs More Clarification No - Does Not Meet Criteria   Yes - Meets Criteria 3 •   3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • •   • Abstain • •   Abetain • •   No - Does Not Meet Criteria • •   Sclarity of the timeline for implementation: • •   • Abetain • • •   Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •   • Abstain • • •	1 3 7 12 1 4 1 6 11 2	9% 27% 64% 100% 8% 33% 8% 50% 100%
Needs More ClarificationYes - Meets Criteria2.Clarity of the evaluation process: • The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research • Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNeeds More ClarificationNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • Appropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps) • Beasonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstain	3 7 12 1 4 1 6 11 2	27% 64% 100% 8% 33% 8% 50% 100%
Yes - Meets Criteria2.Clarity of the evaluation process: • The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research • Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNeeds More ClarificationNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • Appropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps) • Reasonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstain	7 12 1 4 1 6 11 2	64% 100% 8% 33% 8% 50% 100%
2.Clarity of the evaluation process: • The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research • Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNeeds More ClarificationNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • @ppropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps) • @easonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstain	12 1 4 1 6 11 2	100% 8% 33% 8% 50% 100%
•The baseline is clearly created based on reliable data analysis and research •Clear processes for evaluating the progress at the milestone with intended goals.AbstainNeeds More ClarificationNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • Implementation of each activities (steps) • Reasonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstain	1 4 1 6 11 2	8% 33% 8% 50% 100%
Needs More ClarificationNeeds More ClarificationNo - Does Not Meet CriteriaYes - Meets Criteria3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation: • Impropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps) • Reasonable full implementation plan for the time periodAbstainAbstain	4 1 6 11 2	33% 8% 50% 100%
No - Does Not Meet Criteria   Yes - Meets Criteria   3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation:   • Appropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps)   • Reasonable full implementation plan for the time period   Abstain	1 6 11 2	8% 50% 100%
Yes - Meets Criteria 3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation:   • Image: Second black of the implementation of each activities (steps)   • Image: Second black of the implementation plan for the time period   Abstain	6 11 2	50%
Yes - Meets Criteria 3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation:   • Image: Second black of the implementation of each activities (steps)   • Image: Second black of the implementation plan for the time period   Abstain	6 11 2	50%
3.Clarity of the timeline for implementation:   ●▲ppropriate time for the implementation of each activities (steps)   ●▲easonable full implementation plan for the time period   Abstain	11	100%
Abstain	2	
		18%
Needs More Clarification	2	
	2	18%
Yes - Meets Criteria	7	64%
<ul><li>4.Clarify of the human resources support for the initiative:</li><li>Commitment of leadership</li></ul>		
•Commitment and involvement of key people and groups	12	100%
Abstain	1	8%
Needs More Clarification	3	25%
Yes - Meets Criteria	8	67%
5.Request for human, financial and other resources:	0	077
•Reasonable amount of resources request		
•Within the capacity of the committee can provide.	12	100%
Abstain	3	25%
Needs More Clarification	6	50%
No - Does Not Meet Criteria	1	8%
Yes - Meets Criteria	2	17%
Conclusion: Based on your evaluation of Criteria I thru V, do you recommend the proposal for		
funding?	11	100%
Abstain	2	18%
No - Do not recommend for funding	1	9%
Resubmit	4	36%
Yes - Recommend for funding	4	36%

Do. Make. Innovate. Reinvent the Future.

## NEW YORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Northern Blvd., P.O. Box 8000, Old Westbury, NY 11568-8000 516.686.1000

Do. Make. Innovate. Reinvent the Future.

nyit.edu